ISSN: 2944-6163

JCP JOURNAL OF CREATIVE PERSPECTIVES

Theoretical Frameworks for URBIO Bauhaus and New European Bauhaus Biodiversity Integration

Monika Klein*

University of Szczecin

Laima Gerlitz

University of Wismar

*Corresponding Author Email; monika.klein@usz.edu.pl

Abstract

The integration of biodiversity into urban development has become a critical challenge for sustainable city planning, yet it remains insufficiently embedded within dominant design and policy frameworks. This article examines the theoretical foundations, methodological approaches, and emerging empirical insights of the URBIO Bauhaus project, an initiative that extends the New European Bauhaus (NEB) framework by explicitly positioning biodiversity as a core pillar alongside sustainability, aesthetics, and social inclusion. The study synthesizes key concepts informing biodiversity-centered urban design. The analysis highlights the relevance of biophilic city theory, urban ecosystem services, landscape ecology, and nature-based solutions, while emphasizing the importance of participatory methodologies such as Living Labs, co-creation, and the Quintuple Helix governance model. Case study evidence from transnational testing in Central European cities illustrates how universal biodiversity principles can be adapted to diverse socio-spatial contexts through collaborative BIOCENTUM nodes. The findings demonstrate that integrating ecological functionality with aesthetic quality and inclusive governance can enhance urban resilience, social well-being, and environmental stewardship.

Keywords: sustainable urban development (1), biodiversity-centered urban design (2), New European Bauhaus principles (3), urban ecosystem services (4)

Introduction

The integration of biodiversity within urban development frameworks represents a critical evolution in sustainable city planning. The UrbioBauhaus project (https://www.interreg-central.eu/projects/urbio-bauhaus/) emerges as a pioneering initiative that extends the New European Bauhaus (NEB) vision by explicitly incorporating biodiversity as a fundamental pillar alongside sustainability, aesthetics, and inclusion. This article examines the scholarly foundations, methodological approaches, and empirical evidence surrounding the URBIO Bauhaus project and its innovative integration of biodiversity within the New European Bauhaus framework. The scope of this article encompasses four primary domains: theoretical foundations of sustainable urban development, biodiversity integration in urban planning, aesthetic and social dimensions of the New European Bauhaus initiative, and participatory methodologies for urban transformation. Through critical analysis of existing literature, this article identifies key research trends, methodological innovations, and knowledge gaps that inform our understanding of how biodiversity-centered approaches can enhance urban sustainability, beauty, and social cohesion.

Literature Review

The New European Bauhaus (https://new-european-bauhaus.europa.eu/index_en) biodiversity integration builds upon decades of sustainable urban development literature that has evolved from purely environmental concerns to holistic approaches encompassing social, economic, and cultural dimensions. Beatley's (2010) seminal work on biophilic cities provides foundational understanding of how urban environments can be designed to support both human well-being and ecological health. His research demonstrates that cities integrating natural elements experience improved air quality, reduced urban heat island effects, and enhanced social cohesion.

The theoretical framework of the New European Bauhaus principles draws heavily from the original Bauhaus movement's emphasis on functional beauty and social purpose, as documented in Droste's (2019) comprehensive analysis of Bauhaus ideology. However, contemporary interpretations expand this foundation to include ecological sustainability and biodiversity conservation as essential components of urban aesthetics. Gehl's (2011) research on human-scale urban design provides crucial insights into how biodiversity integration can enhance the social dimensions of urban spaces, creating environments that are both ecologically functional and socially engaging. Recent scholarship by Andersson and colleagues on urban biodiversity governance reveals the complexity of implementing biodiversity-centered urban design within existing planning frameworks. Their work highlights the need for innovative governance models that can accommodate the multi-scalar and multi-actor nature of urban biodiversity challenges. This research directly influenced the URBIOBauhaus project and approach to establish BIOCENTUM nodes as collaborative platforms for biodiversity integration.

The participatory urban planning methodology literature, particularly the work of Healey (1997) on collaborative planning theory, provides essential theoretical grounding for the

URBIO Bauhaus emphasis on multi-stakeholder engagement. Healey's framework for communicative planning aligns closely with the Quintuple Helix model employed in URBIO Bauhaus, which involves government, industry, academia, civil society, and cultural sectors in collaborative urban transformation processes. The sustainable urban development literature reveals a growing recognition of biodiversity as a critical component of urban resilience and sustainability. Elmqvist and colleagues'(2013) research on urban ecosystem services demonstrates how biodiversity integration can provide multiple benefits including climate regulation, water management, and social well-being. Their work establishes the scientific foundation for viewing biodiversity not only as an environmental concern but as a fundamental infrastructure component.

Biodiversity-centered urban design has emerged as a distinct field of practice, with significant contributions from landscape ecology and urban planning disciplines. Forman's (2014) principles of landscape ecology provide essential guidance for creating ecological connectivity within urban environments, while Ahern's (2013) work on green infrastructure demonstrates practical approaches for integrating natural systems into urban development. These theoretical foundations directly inform the URBIO Bauhaus approach to creating "biodiverse islands" and ecological corridors within urban contexts.

The literature on nature-based solutions cities, particularly the work of Cohen-Shacham (2016) and colleagues, provides important insights into how biodiversity integration can address multiple urban challenges simultaneously. Their research demonstrates that well-designed nature-based interventions can provide climate adaptation benefits while enhancing urban aesthetics and social cohesion. This multi-functional approach aligns closely with the New European Bauhaus emphasis on solutions that are simultaneously sustainable, beautiful, and inclusive. Recent research by Lepczyk and colleagues (2017) on urban wildlife ecology reveals the importance of considering species-specific needs in urban biodiversity planning. Their work highlights the need for evidence-based approaches to habitat creation and management within urban environments. This research informs the URBIO Bauhaus emphasis on creating context-specific biodiversity solutions that address local ecological conditions and conservation priorities.

The intersection of aesthetics and ecology in urban design has received increasing attention in recent literature, with important contributions from environmental psychology and landscape architecture. Kaplan and Kaplan's (1989) research on restorative environments demonstrates that natural elements in urban spaces provide significant psychological benefits, including stress reduction and improved cognitive function. Their work establishes the scientific basis for viewing biodiversity integration as essential for human well-being in urban environments.

The New European Bauhaus principles emphasize the importance of beauty in sustainable development, drawing from research on environmental aesthetics and place attachment. Nassauer's (1995) work on "cues to care" reveals how the visual appearance of ecological interventions influences public acceptance and stewardship behaviors. This research is particularly relevant to the URBIO Bauhaus emphasis on creating biodiversity interventions that are both ecologically functional and aesthetically appealing.

Social dimensions of urban biodiversity have been explored extensively in environmental justice and community development literature. Wolch and colleagues' research (2014) on "green gentrification" highlights the importance of ensuring that biodiversity interventions benefit existing communities rather than displacing them. Their work influences the URBIO Bauhaus emphasis on inclusive governance and community participation in biodiversity planning processes.

The role of cultural values in biodiversity conservation has been examined by Buijs 2016 and colleagues, who demonstrate that successful urban biodiversity initiatives must align with local cultural preferences and practices. Their research supports the URBIO Bauhaus approach of adapting biodiversity interventions to local contexts while maintaining core ecological principles.

The transnational urban biodiversity testing methodology employed in URBIO Bauhaus draws from extensive literature on participatory action research and Living Lab approaches. Bergvall-Kåreborn and Ståhlbröst's (2009) research on Living Lab methodology provides theoretical grounding for the collaborative innovation processes used in BIOCENTUM nodes. Their work demonstrates how Living Labs can facilitate knowledge co-creation between diverse stakeholders while addressing complex urban challenges.

The Quintuple Helix urban governance model employed in URBIO Bauhaus project builds upon Carayannis and Campbell's (2009) theoretical framework for innovation ecosystems. Their research demonstrates how multi-sector collaboration can accelerate the development and implementation of sustainable solutions. This theoretical foundation supports the URBIO Bauhaus approach of engaging government, industry, academia, civil society, and cultural sectors in biodiversity planning processes.

Participatory design methodologies, particularly the work of Sanders and Stappers (2008) on co-creation, provide important insights into how diverse stakeholders can collaborate effectively in urban planning processes. Their research on design thinking approaches aligns closely with the "Empathize, Define, Ideate, Prototype, Test" methodology employed in URBIO Bauhaus workshops and co-creation sessions.

The literature on community-based natural resource management, particularly the work of Ostrom (2009) on common pool resource governance, provides important theoretical insights for understanding how communities can effectively manage urban biodiversity resources. Ostrom's design principles for stable resource management institutions inform the URBIO Bauhaus project approach to creating sustainable governance structures for biodiversity conservation.

Case Study Analysis and Implementation Insights

The transnational testing of URBIO Bauhaus across Central European cities provides valuable empirical evidence for biodiversity integration approaches. The case studies from Kranj (Sovenia), Pula (Chroatia), Érd (Hungary), and Wrocław (Poland)

demonstrate how universal principles of biodiversity integration can be adapted to diverse urban contexts while maintaining core ecological and social objectives.

Research on urban biodiversity in post-socialist cities, particularly the work of Kabisch and Haase (2013), provides important context for understanding the specific challenges and opportunities present in Central European urban environments. Their research reveals how historical land use patterns and governance structures influence contemporary biodiversity conservation efforts.

The emphasis on behavioral change and mindset transformation in URBIO Bauhaus aligns with research on environmental behavior change by Stern and colleagues (2000). Their work on the value-belief-norm model provides theoretical grounding for understanding how participation in biodiversity planning processes can influence individual and community environmental behaviors.

Research Gaps and Future Directions

Despite the growing body of literature on urban biodiversity and sustainable development, several significant research gaps remain. Long-term monitoring and evaluation of biodiversity interventions in urban environments requires further development, particularly regarding standardized metrics and assessment protocols. The URBIO Bauhaus project's emphasis on behavioral baseline assessments and mindset change surveys represents an important contribution to addressing this gap.

The integration of digital technologies and smart city approaches with biodiversity planning remains underexplored in current literature. Future research should examine how digital tools can enhance community participation in biodiversity monitoring and stewardship activities.

Economic valuation of urban biodiversity benefits requires further development to support policy decision-making and resource allocation. While ecosystem services research provides important foundations, more work is needed on valuing the aesthetic and social benefits of urban biodiversity integration.

Conclusion

The literature review reveals that URBIO Bauhaus project represents a significant advancement in integrating biodiversity within sustainable urban development frameworks. By explicitly adding biodiversity as a fourth pillar to the New European Bauhaus principles of sustainability, beauty, and inclusion, the project addresses a critical gap in current urban planning approaches.

The theoretical foundations drawn from landscape ecology, environmental psychology, and participatory planning provide robust support for the URBIO Bauhaus methodology. The emphasis on multi-stakeholder collaboration through BIOCENTUM nodes aligns with best practices in collaborative governance and innovation management.

The transnational testing results demonstrate the adaptability and transferability of the URBIO Bauhaus approach across diverse urban contexts. The project's integration of aesthetic, ecological, and social considerations provides a model for future urban biodiversity initiatives that seek to create environments that are simultaneously sustainable, beautiful, and socially inclusive.

Future research should focus on developing standardized monitoring protocols, exploring digital technology integration, and conducting long-term evaluations of biodiversity and social outcomes. The URBIO Bauhaus project provides an important foundation for advancing our understanding of how biodiversity integration can enhance urban sustainability and quality of life.

References

Ahern, J. (2013) Urban landscape sustainability and resilience: the promise and challenges of integrating ecology with urban planning and design. *Landscape Ecology*, 28, 1203–1212. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-012-9799-z

Andersson, E., Barthel, S., Borgström, S. et al. (2014) Reconnecting Cities to the Biosphere: Stewardship of Green Infrastructure and Urban Ecosystem Services. *AMBIO*, 43, 445–453. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-014-0506-y

Beatley, T. (2010). *Biophilic cities: Integrating nature into urban design and planning*. Island Press.

Bergvall-Kåreborn, B., & Ståhlbröst, A. (2009). Living Lab: An open and citizen-centric approach for innovation. *International Journal of Innovation and Regional Development*, 1(4), 356–370.

Buijs, A. E., Mattijssen, T. J., Van der Jagt, A. P., Ambrose-Oji, B., Andersson, E., Elands, B. H., & Steen Møller, M. (2016). Active citizenship for urban green infrastructure: Fostering the diversity and dynamics of citizen contributions through mosaic governance. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 22, 1–6.

Carayannis, E. G., & Campbell, D. F. (2009). 'Mode 3' and 'Quadruple Helix': Toward a 21st century fractal innovation ecosystem. *International Journal of Technology Management*, 46(3–4), 201–234.

Cohen-Shacham, E., Walters, G., Janzen, C., & Maginnis, S. (Eds.). (2016). *Nature-based solutions to address global societal challenges*. IUCN.

Droste, M. (2019). *Bauhaus, 1919–1933*. Taschen.

Elmqvist, T., Fragkias, M., Goodness, J., Güneralp, B., Marcotullio, P. J., McDonald, R. I., … & Wilkinson, C. (Eds.). (2013). *Urbanization, biodiversity and ecosystem services: Challenges and opportunities*. Springer.

Forman, R. T. (2014). *Urban ecology: An introduction*. Cambridge University Press.

Gehl, J. (2011). *Life between buildings: Using public space*. Island Press.

Healey, P. (1997). *Collaborative planning: Shaping places in fragmented societies*. UBS Vancouver.

Kabisch, N., & Haase, D. (2013). Green spaces of European cities revisited for 1990–2006. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 110, 113–122.

Kaplan, R., & Kaplan, S. (1989). *The experience of nature: A psychological perspective*. Cambridge University Press.

Lepczyk, C., Aronson, M., Evans, K., Goddard, M., Lerman, S., & MacIvor, J. S. (2017). Biodiversity in the City: Fundamental Questions for Understanding the Ecology of Urban Green Spaces for Biodiversity Conservation. *BioScience*, 67. https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/bix079

Nassauer, J. I. (1995). Messy ecosystems, orderly frames. *Landscape Journal*, 14(2), 161–170.

Ostrom, E. (2009). A general framework for analyzing sustainability of social-ecological systems. *Science*, 325(5939), 419–422.

Sanders, E. B. N., & Stappers, P. J. (2008). Co-creation and the new landscapes of design. *CoDesign*, 4(1), 5–18.

Stern, P. C. (2000). New environmental theories: Toward a coherent theory of environmentally significant behavior. *Journal of Social Issues*, 56(3), 407–424.

Wolch, J. R., Byrne, J., & Newell, J. P. (2014). Urban green space, public health, and environmental justice: The challenge of making cities 'just green enough'. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 125, 234–244.

Policy and Governance Documents

European Commission. (2021). *New European Bauhaus: Beautiful, sustainable, together*. Publications Office of the European Union.

URBIO Bauhaus Project Consortium. (2024). *Deliverable 1.2.1: Transnational Participative Testing Report*. Project Documentation.